

Importance of Genetic Evaluation in Pediatric Restrictive Cardiomyopathy

Philip T. Thrush MD, Elfriede Pahl MD, Steven J. Kindel MD, Katheryn Gambetta MD, Jeffrey G. Gossett MD, John M. Costello MD, MPH, Hyde M. Russell MD, and Carl L. Backer MD
Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago | 225 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611

Introduction

- Restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM) is characterized by:
 - Severely impaired ventricular relaxation
 - Normal or near normal systolic function
 - Normal or near normal wall thickness
- Etiologies of pediatric RCM are poorly understood
 - Majority of cases are idiopathic^{1,2}
 - ~30% reported with prior family history³
- Sarcomeric and cytoskeletal mutations have been implicated in prior small studies
- We sought to review the yield of commercially available genetic testing in children with RCM at our center**

Methods

- Single-center retrospective review
- Children <18 years old diagnosed with RCM between 1988 and 2012
- Data collected: basic demographics, family history, echocardiographic data, explant pathology and results of commercially available genetic testing panels
- Descriptive statistics

Disclosures

The authors have no financial disclosures.

Results

Demographics	n (%)
Total pts with RCM	20
Male	12 (60%)
Median age at dx, Yrs (Range)	5.9 (0.5-16.2)
Presenting Symptoms	
Cough	8 (40%)
Dyspnea	6 (30%)
Tachypnea	6 (30%)
FTT	4 (25%)
Syncope	3 (15%)
Phenotype	
RCM	14 (70%)
RCM/DCM	5 (25%)
RCM/HCM	1 (5%)

Genetic Evaluation	n (%)
Genetic testing performed	
Yes	12 (60%)
No*	8 (40%)
Positive genetic testing	6/12 (50%)
TNNI3	4
MYH7	1
DES	1
Positive family history of CM	
+ testing	2/6
- testing	0/6
No testing	0/8
Additional genetic variants identified	
Multiple variants of unknown significance (TTN, TPMO, TNNI3)	1
Benign polymorphism of ZASP	1
Seckel syndrome (RCM not previously described with Seckel syndrome)	1

* 7 patients cared for prior to availability of commercially available testing
1 patient's testing denied by third party payer

- Explant pathology suggestive of a mitochondrial disorder in 2 cases (both with negative genetic testing)

Discussion

- Common symptoms at diagnosis of RCM are pulmonary— related to pulmonary venous congestion
- Genetic testing identified positive mutation in 50% of patients tested but also identified 1 pt with variants of unknown significance
 - Implications for family screening/follow-up
- Limitations:
 - Era effect in genetic testing with 7/8 patients not tested due to lack of available testing

Conclusions

- RCM can be an expression of sarcomeric and cytoskeletal mutations in children**
- Genetic evaluation using currently available sarcomeric and cytoskeletal panels may define a genetic etiology in up to 50% of pediatric patients tested**
- These results support recent guidelines⁴ encouraging the use of genetic testing to help identify heritable forms of cardiomyopathy**

References

- Lipshultz SE, et al. The incidence of pediatric cardiomyopathy in two regions of the United States. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1647-1655.
- Webber SA, et al. Outcomes of restrictive cardiomyopathy in childhood and the influence of phenotype: a report from the pediatric cardiomyopathy registry. Circulation 2012;126:1237-1244.
- Denfield SW and Webber SA. Restrictive cardiomyopathy in childhood. Heart Failure Clin 2010;6:445-452.
- Ackerman MJ, et al. HRS/EHRA expert consensus statement on the state of genetic testing for the channelopathies and cardiomyopathies this document was developed as a partnership between the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). Heart Rhythm 2011;8(8):1309-1338.